Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/764,800	FONTOURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mohammad Ali	2166
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Mohammad Ali</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>Janaki K. Davda (Reg. No. 40,684)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 March 2007	Time: <u>5:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	nt's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
None		
Claims discussed:		
1, 4, 11, 14, 21 and 24		
Prior art documents discussed:		
None		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
A telephone call was made to applicant's representative to further amend the claims towards the invention and applicant's representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and an authorization has given for an Examiner's amendment		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
ae		
		· ,
•	··	
un faul		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		